Understanding the Relationship Between Surgical Gloves and Electrosurgery

A SELF-STUDY GUIDE

An information and educational program for
the hospital and medical community

Ansell Cares

OVERVIEW

Ansell Healthcare Products LLC has an ongoing commitment to the development of quality hand barrier products and services for the healthcare industry. This self-study, Clinical Reference Manual: *Understanding the Relationship Between Surgical Gloves and Bectrvsurgery* is one in a series of continuing educational services provided by Ansell. This educational module examines basic knowledge of the safety issues associated with electrosurgery in relation to surgical gloves, as well as offering ways to prevent intraoperative electrical shock or bum to surgical personnel during electrosurgery.

PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this educational activity, the learner should be able to:

1. Define 3 key terms related to electrosurgery use.
2. Describe the 3 hazards that may cause electrical shock or burn to surgical personnel during electrosurgery.
3. Describe 2 hazard prevention measures that may be incorporated to avoid intraoperative shock or burn.

INTENDED AUDIENCE

The information contained in this self-study guidebook is intended for use by healthcare professionals who are responsible for or involved in the following activities related to this topic:

- Educating healthcare personnel.
- Establishing institutional or departmental policies and procedures
- Decision-making responsibilities for hand barrier products.
- Maintaining regulatory compliance with agencies such as OSHA, ADA and CDC
- Managing employee health and infection control services.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ansell Healthcare is a provider approved by the California Board of Registered Nursing, Provider # CEP 15538 for XXX contact hour(s). Obtaining full credit for this offering depends on completion of the self-study materials on-line as directed below.

This continuing education activity is approved for 0.5 CE credits by the Association of Surgical Technologists, Inc., for continuing education for the Certified Surgical Technologist and Certified Surgical First Assistant. This recognition does not imply that AST approves or endorses any product or products that are included in the presentation.

Approval refers to recognition of educational activities only and does not imply endorsement of any product or company displayed in any form during the educational activity.

To receive contact hours for this program, please go to the "Program Tests" area and complete the post-test. You will receive your certificate via email.

AN 85% PASSING SCORE IS REQUIRED FOR SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION

Allow 4 to 6 weeks for processing and issuance of a certificate. Any learner who does not successfully complete the post-test will be notified and given an opportunity to resubmit for certification.

For more information about our educational programs or hand-barrier-related topics, please contact Ansell Healthcare Educational Services at 1-732-3452162 or e-mail us at edu@ansellhealthcare.com

Planning Committee Members:
Lori Jensen, RN
Pamela Werner, RN, BSN, CNOR, MBA

The planning committee members declare that they have an affiliation and financial relationship as employees of Ansell Healthcare, which could be perceived as posing a potential conflict of interest with development of this self-study module. This module will include discussion of commercial products referenced in generic terms only.

Ansell

Ansell Healthcare Products LLC

200 Schulz Drive
Red Bank, NJ 07701
USA

Tel: 1-800-952-9916
www.ansellhealthcare.com

CONTENTS

Test Your Knowledge 1

Introduction 1

Electrosurgery Basics 2

Conclusion 5

Glossary of Terms 6

References 6

Bibliography 7

Notes 8,10

Post-Test 9

Answer Sheet/Certificate Application/Evaluation Form 11

TEST YOUR KNOWLEDGE

The following brief quiz will help to develop a starting point for this topic:

1. Electrical current is the movement of electrons past a given point.
   ❑ True ❑ False

2. Electrosurgery is the passage of alternating high-frequency current through biological tissue to create a desired clinical effect on the tissue.
   ❑ True ❑ False

3. Intraoperative shock or burn through the surgical glove is always caused from a preexisting hole in the surgical glove.
   ❑ True ❑ False

4. Conditions that may result in an intraoperative shock or burn to surgical personnel during electrosurgery are inadvertent handling of metal instruments and high-voltage output from the electrosurgery generator.
   ❑ True ❑ False

5. "Hydration" of a latex glove barrier may increase the risk for intraoperative shock or burn to surgical personnel during electrosurgery.
   ❑ True ❑ False

6. Changing gloves periodically during an operative procedure is the only way to prevent intraoperative shock or burn to surgical personnel during electrosurgery.
   ❑ True ❑ False

Answer Key: 1. True, 2. True, 3. False, 4. True, 5. True, 6. False

INTRODUCTION

Electrosurgery has been in practice since early in the 20th century as a means to control bleeding. It was a great advancement, as it provided a means to prevent death from hemorrhaging. An inadvertent electrical burn or shock during electrosurgery can be one of the occupational hazards of the operating room. The person experiencing the event usually attributes the incident to a hole in their surgical glove. However, there are several other causes of electrical shocks or burns during electrosurgery.

To gain a broader or more detailed understanding of electrosurgery and the related physiology, the reader should consult a reputable manufacturer of electrosurgical equipment, or refer to the suggested reference material included in this program. This self-study guide will describe basic principles of electrosurgery related to surgical gloves and offer ways to prevent intraoperative electrical shock or burn to surgical personnel during electrosurgery.

Ansell

ELECTROSURGERY BASICS

Electrosurgery is the application of electrical (Radio Frequency [RF]) current to biological tissue. An electrosurgical generator supplies the source of electric current, which transfers energy (electrons) to tissue. The term "Bovie," a manufacturer's trademark named after one of the early pioneers, Dr. William T. Bovie, is often used synonymously with the term "Electrosurgery." The terms "electrosurgery" and "electrocautery" are also often used synonymously; however, this is incorrect, and it is important that the two are not confused.

In electrosurgery, the electrical current is applied directly to the tissue and the patient is part of the circuit. Electrocautery is the indirect application of electrical current by heating a conductive element, which burns tissue. Another distinguishable difference is that electrosurgical units are considered AC (alternating current) energy sources and electrocautery units are DC (direct current) sources. An electrosurgery source is quickly identifiable in the OR by the patient return electrode/dispersive pad applied to the patient.

HOW COMMON IS ELECTROSURGERY?

The use of electrosurgery during an operative procedure is almost as common as wearing gloves. There are various energy sources and methods employed with the use of electrosurgery. RF current is typically used by the surgeon to cut tissue or to obtain hemostasis (stop bleeding). Electrosurgery is a safe and efficient instrument for both invasive and minimally invasive surgical (MIS) procedures.

HOW AN ELECTROSURGICAL UNIT OPERATES

The circuitry of an electrosurgery unit is composed of the generator and active electrode (handheld instrument), the patient, and the patient return electrode/dispersive pad, which is sometimes referred to as the passive or ground electrode (patient pad or plate). Electrons, or the electrical charge, travel from the generator through the active electrode, through the patient, and return to the generator via the patient return electrode/dispersive pad, completing the electrical circuit (see Diagram 1).

At the point where the current passes through the active electrode, the electri-



Diagram 1



Diagram 2

cal energy is converted into thermal energy, resulting in high-energy heat. The heat causes disintegration of tissue cells, which may be seen as desiccation (destruction) or hemostasis of the tissue. Of course, the effect upon the tissue depends on a multiplicity of factors, such as the amperage of the electrical current, the size of the active electrode tip, and the time the electrical generator is activated. A final, but very important, point to stress here is to consider an absolute law of electricity, which is: electrical current always follows the path of least resistance. During electrosurgery, if the environment so dictates, the hand of the operating surgeon or assistant may offer the optimal path.

WHAT PROBLEMS EXIST WITH ELECTROSURGERY?

Advances in electrosurgical technology have made it a safe and necessary practice in almost all types of surgical intervention. However, there are idiosyncrasies linked to the modality which warrant astute awareness by all members of the healthcare team involved when electrosurgery is employed. Among the potential concerns for the operative team are interference with video and anesthesia monitoring equipment, patient return electrode/dispersive pad site burns, and alternate site burns to the patient that may occur if the electrosurgical current is sufficiently concentrated to a site other than the patient return electrode/dispersive pad. Also, sparks from an electrosurgery unit could provide an ignition source for an intraoperative fire.

Another problem related to the use of electrosurgery is electrical shock or burn to the operating surgeon or assistant through the surgical glove.[1] When this occurs, the clinician often attributes the hazard to a preexisting hole in the glove (i.e., a break in insulation). The clinician simply changes gloves and continues on. While this may be the case, and changing gloves is likely the solution, there are other variables to be considered. It is possible that the hazard did not occur from a preexisting hole in the glove barrier but, in effect, a hole could result from the electrical hazard. The glove barrier may not have had a hole in it at all before the episode occurred. It has been suggested by researchers that the potential for a member of the operative team to receive a shock or burn through the surgical glove—natural rubber or

synthetic—may occur three different ways aside from a preexisting hole:

1. DC Conduction
2. RF Capacitive Coupling
3. High-voltage Dielectric Breakdown[2]

DC Conduction

This suggests that the impedance of the glove barrier to the electrical current is low enough to let the current pass through. The impedance or resistance properties of a surgical glove may be reduced as a result of extended wear and exposure to blood and fluids, or from perspiration inside the glove. A "ballooning" phenomenon can typically be seen at the tips of the glove, which suggests that the glove has lost some of its barrier protective property. Another term often used to explain the barrier breakdown effect is "hydration," simply defined as the absorption of water into the latex film. A glove that has become hydrated measures a lower electrical resistance than a non-hydrated glove.[3] A surgical glove that hydrates slowly may offer added protection against the problems associated with electrosurgical shock. Routine re-gloving and double-gloving can prevent these problems as well (see Diagram 2).

RF Capacitive Coupling

During electrosurgery the operating surgeon's perspiring conductive skin and the metal hemostat applied, for example, to a blood vessel, are considered capacitors (two conductors) separated by an insulator, the glove barrier. When alternating current is applied to the hemostat from the active electrode, it induces electrical charge on the other conductor. The thinner the glove film, the more efficiently current can be induced to surge from one conductor (the hemostat) to the other conductor (the surgeon's hand). This does not imply that electrical shock is imminent in every procedure; certainly, conditions (as described in this manual) dictate. But what is suggested in the literature is that all gloves, intact or otherwise, are capable of transferring large amounts of RF current.[1] Here again, selectively choosing an optimal barrier (e.g., an ultra-thick glove) may prove to be a more effective insulator for the operating surgeon when employing electrosurgery (see Diagram 3).



Diagram 3



Diagram 4

High-voltage Dielectric Breakdown
This phenomenon results when the glove barrier cannot withstand the effects of the high-energy force from an electrosurgery generator. If the voltage is sufficiently high, it can produce a hole in the glove and a resultant burn. Again, there are contributing variables, such as the amount of time the current is applied or the surgical technique used. Example: It is a very common practice for the surgeon or first assistant to clamp a bleeding vessel and "zap" the bleeder with the active electrode while holding onto the hemostatic instrument. The voltage or force from the generator is exerted onto the entire clamp. The real potential for an electrical hazard is to the person holding the clamp. If the clamp is being held by just the tip of one finger, this allows only a small area for the current to concentrate, increasing the current density to the finger holding the clamp. If all conditions are right, the result is an electrifying "zap." Basically, it is the same principle as touching a doorknob with your finger and getting "zapped" after walking across a carpeted room and generating static electricity.

A safe method is to keep a firm hold on the hemostat while obtaining hemostasis with the electrosurgery instrument.[4] This ensures a larger area, decreasing the chance for the concentration of current at the site (see Diagram 4).

CONCLUSION

Surgical gloves may be considered a nonconductor due to the insulating properties of rubber and may be considered by some to act as an insulation medium when performing electrosurgery. However, glove barriers are not manufactured for this purpose and, therefore, should not be relied upon to provide "fail-safe" insulation. Understanding how to ensure optimal protection and performance is crucial in healthcare now more than ever, particularly with the overshadowing problems related to bloodborne pathogenic diseases such as hepatitis and AIDS. The instruments and equipment we depend on to deliver quality patient care function the best when we do our best to operate them correctly and effectively.

Ansell

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Capacitive Coupling: The condition that occurs when alternating (AC) electrical current is transferred from one conductor (an electrode), across intact insulation, into adjacent conductive materials (tissue or skin) or another metal surgical instrument. Capacitance is stored electrical charge.

Current: Refers to the flow of electric charge. The most familiar artificial form of electric current is the flow of conduction electrons in metal wires; i.e., wires within electrical equipment. Electrical current can be alternating current (AC), which means that the direction of electrons alternate or switch, or direct current (DC), in which the flow of electrical current (electrons) is in one direction only.

Dielectric Breakdown: Breakdown of a nonconductive material (e.g., a rubber glove), which may be caused by high-voltage output from the electrical generator.

Electrosurgery: The passage of Radio Frequency (RF) or high-frequency electrical current through tissue to create a desired clinical effect on the tissue. RF current is measured in cycles per second.

Resistance/Impedance: The lack of conductivity or the opposition to the flow of electrical current. The terms are used interchangeably. Resistance/impedance is measured in ohms.

REFERENCES

1. Miller JM, et al. Permeability of surgical rubber gloves. *Am J Surg*. July 1972; 124:57-9.

2. Tucker RD, Ferguson S. Do surgical gloves protect staff during electrosurgical procedures? *Surgery* Nov. 1991; 110(5):892-5.

3. Ibid.

4. Martin DC, et al. Electrosurgical safety. *Am Assoc Gynecol Lapar*. Jan. 1995; 1:1-7.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Beck WC. Glove testing for holes. *Guthrie Journal* 1988; 57:67-70.

Brough SJ, et al. Surgical glove perforations. *Brit J Surg.* 1988; 75:317.

Charles NC, et al. Causes and prevention of electrosurgical injuries in laparoscopy. *J Am Col Surg.* Aug. 1994; 179:161-70.

Clinical Issues. *AORN* Feb. 2005; (81)2:398-399.

Electric Current. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electrical_current
Accessed May 23, 2006.

Hausner K. Endoscopic electrode safety. *Medical Electronics* April 1993: 94-6.

Latex Surgical Gloves. *Health Devices Sourcebook* 1983; 12:83-98.

Luciano AA, et al. Essential principles of electrosurgery in operative laparoscopy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Lapar.* 1994; 1(3):189-95.

Martin DC, et al. Electrosurgical safety. *Am Assoc Gynecol Lapar.* Jan. 1995; 1:1-7.

Miller JM, et al. Permeability of surgical rubber gloves. *Am J Surg.* July 1972; 124:57-9.

Odell RC. Biophysics of Electrical Energy, In: *Operative Laparoscopy, The Master's Techniques.* New York, Raven Press 1993: 35-44.

Pearce JA. *Hazards in Electrosurgery.* London, Chapman & Hall 1986: 179-223.

Standards, Recommended Practices, and Guidelines. AORN 2006 Edition: 481-495.

Tucker RD. The physics of electrosurgery. *Continuing Education* Aug. 1985:574-89.

Tucker RD, Ferguson S. Do surgical gloves protect staff during electrosurgical procedures? *Surgery* Nov. 1991; 110(5):892-5.

Tucker RD, et al. Capacitive coupled stray currents during laparoscopic and endoscopic electrosurgical procedures. *Biomed Instrum Tech.* 1992; 26:303-11.

Update: Controlling the risks of electrosurgery. *ECRI Health Devices* Dec. 1989; 18(12):430-2.

Vancallie TG. *Electrosurgery: Principles and Risks.* Center for Gynecologic Endoscopy, San Antonio, TX 1994.

Ansell

NOTES

POST-TEST

WRITE THE LETTER THAT CORRESPONDS WITH THE CORRECT ANSWER TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS ON THE ANSWER SHEET LOCATED INSIDE THE BACK COVER.

1. The current from an electrosurgery generator is not powerful enough to pass through an intact glove barrier.
   a) True b) False

2. A surgical glove that becomes "hydrated" from prolonged exposure to blood and fluids exhibits a lower electrical resistance, thereby increasing the risk of intraoperative shock or burn to surgical personnel during electrosurgery.
   a) True b) False

3. Routine re-gloving and double-gloving may offer protection for personnel against "Bovie" burns during electrosurgery.
   a) True b) False

4. DC Conduction and RF Capacitive Coupling are two phenomena that can occur during electrosurgery and may lead to an intraoperative hazard.
   a) True b) False

5. If the voltage from the electrosurgery generator is sufficiently high, it can produce a hole in the surgical glove.
   a) True b) False

6. Intraoperative shock or burn will always be avoided if the surgical glove remains intact (no preexisting hole).
   a) True b) False

7. Holding a metal instrument with a firm grip during electrosurgery may prevent intraoperative shock or burn from occurring.
   a) True b) False

8. Surgical gloves should be relied upon to provide "fail-safe" insulation for surgical personnel during electrosurgery.
   a) True b) False

9. The potential for a member of the operative team to receive a shock or burn through the surgical glove may occur from:
   a) AC failure, DC conduction, dielectric breakdown
   b) RF capacitive coupling, DC conduction, dielectric breakdown
   c) a and b
   d) None of the above

10. Surgical personnel may avoid intraoperative shock or burn during electrosurgery by:
    a) Re-gloving during surgery, double-gloving, and wearing ultra-thick gloves
    b) Keeping a firm hold on metal instruments during electrosurgery
    c) Always wearing non-latex surgical gloves
    d) a and b

11. The following pertains to the term "hydration":
    a) May also be characterized as "ballooning," and can typically be seen at the fingertips of the glove, which suggests that the glove has lost some of its barrier protective properties
    b) Can be defined as the absorption of water into the latex film of the glove
    c) Can be avoided by routine re-gloving or double-gloving
    d) All of the above

12. A hole in the surgical glove _____.
    a) Could result from an electrical hazard
    b) Could never result from an electrical hazard, because the glove is a fail-safe insulator
    c) Is always responsible for an electrical hazard to surgical personnel
    d) None of the above

NOTE: This activity expires August 31, 2007. Post-Test must be returned to Ansell at least 30 days prior to this date in order to receive a certificate.

Ansell

NOTES